Protecting yourself from employee theft, fraud and embezzlement (part 2)

By Eugene W. Heller, DDS

As a practice owner, a dentist will face a multitude of business-related tasks, issues and challenges. The rewards far exceed the drawbacks, but there are challenges. One of the challenges may be employee theft. Estimates of the number of dentists who will experience theft at least once during their dentists career range from 55–50 percent.

Theft to occur and to implement measures, the majority of this theft is preventable. The key is to understand where the potential exists for theft to occur and to implement strategies to prevent the loss.

Other preventative areas

Each office should use a time clock, and the dentist must initial manual entries. Petty cash should be counted and balanced daily. The amount of receipts plus cash on hand should equal the same balance every day. The outside of the envelope containing the petty cash should be used to monitor the daily balance.

Each day, the date, the receipt total, the cash total and the sum of receipts and cash should be listed along with the initials of the person reconciling the petty cash. When the age of computerization came to dentistry, one of the selling points was that computers would make it more difficult to embezzle. This means reviewing entries, reports, patient account records, etc., to gather the hard evidence necessary to confront the theft.

Preventing theft by computer requires a thorough understanding of the meaning of the terms “deletion,” “erasing” and “reconciling.” However, most policies require the reporting of loss to police and prosecution if advised by the local district attorney.

Dealing with embezzlement

Dealing with embezzlement, fraud and theft involves four steps. Discovery is the first step. It is the dentist’s responsibility to diligently observe what is going on in his/her office relative to the handling of money. If theft is suspected or discovered, the next step is investigation. Before making any accusations, the dentist must make certain that the evidence supports the alleged crime.

Dealing with embezzlement

According to accepted accounting principles.

No system should allow the deletion or erasing of accounts or charges by staff or allow deletion/disabling of the entire system.

The statement generator should never be turned off. Any patient complaints relative to payments and balances must be carefully investigated.

Computer reports are designed to assist in avoiding theft problems. But to work, someone (i.e., the dentist) must review them. These will only take a few minutes to review, but this must be done.

Adjustment, refund and write-off reports should be read by the dentist daily. The dentist should scan posting reports daily. The dentist can quickly spot incorrect charges posted for procedures he/she has just performed.

The accounts receivable (A/R) aging report should be checked monthly and discussed monthly with the financial coordinator. The financial coordinator should be prepared to respond to each account over 90 days old with why, what has been done and when payment is expected.

In addition to demonstrating that the dentist is monitoring things, this also greatly assists in making certain that collection procedures are being followed, thereby keeping accounts receivable under control.

Dealing with embezzlement

Reasons dentists do not prosecute

By Eugene W. Heller, DDS

Why do some dentists elect to forge prosecution? Topping the list is the fear of a slander suit. Avoiding this allegation is the purpose of the investigation stage.

If you have the evidence, you are not guilty of more than you are accused of. Involving the police once you are certain you have become a victim will aid in protection against these false allegations.

In addition, many dentists fear to prosecute because of fear of the IRS. After all, they have unreported income. If one fails to report and prosecute the theft, the IRS takes the position that income has been fraudulently under-reported.

If one reports the loss to the authorities, the IRS views this as proof that a loss by theft has occurred and therefore the under-reported income is offset by the theft loss and non-charges will be levied by the IRS.

Non-reporting of employee theft can also be the fear of blackmail. Some of the dentists suffering losses from theft are themselves involved in insurance fraud, unreported income and/or income tax evasion. They know the offending staff member is aware of this and, out of fear of a slander suit, avoid avoiding the employee but not prosecute.

Recruitment

The last of the four steps of dealing with employee theft is recovery. Total recovery is usually not possible.

Even if successfully prosecuted involving a judgment requiring repayment, most staff members involved in theft no longer have the money nor do they possess the ability to repay, even if spread over a lifetime.

Actual judgments issued such as $50 per month until the amount embezzled has been repaid would require 100 years of monthly payments to recover a $60,000 loss (that does not even include interest).

The best chance of partial recovery comes from the office insurance policy. Limits of $10,000 to $25,000 are common. The policy will pay the actual amount of loss or the policy limit, whichever is lower.

However, most policies require the reporting of loss to police and prosecution if advised by the local district attorney.

Conclusion

Most theft, fraud and embezzlement is avoidable if minimal safeguards are instituted.

However, the dentist must take an active role. Dentists who blind trust their employees are the easiest targets and may suffer the greatest losses.

Many new dentists who acquire their dental practice by purchasing an existing practice face the same problem relative to implementing safeguards as older dentists in practice for many years face.

How can you solve this dilemma? Blame it on your accountant.

Tell your staff that your accountant has recommended certain changes be made in how things are done because this represents better compliance with GAAP (generally accepted accounting principles).

In this manner, these changes will barely be questioned, except perhaps by a staff person who is guilty of theft.
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